arXiv:1402.1717v2 [math.RT] 22 Sep 2014

INVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ON H-TYPE GROUPS AND DISCRETE COMPONENTS IN RESTRICTIONS OF COMPLEMENTARY SERIES OF RANK ONE SEMISIMPLE GROUPS

J. MÖLLERS, B. ØRSTED, AND G. ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We explicitly construct a finite number of discrete components in the restriction of complementary series representations of rank one semisimple groups G to rank one subgroups G_1. For this we use the realizations of complementary series representations of G and G_1 on Sobolev type spaces on the nilpotent radicals N and N_1 of the minimal parabolics in G and G_1, respectively. The groups N and N_1 are of H-type and we construct explicitly invariant differential operators between N and N_1. These operators induce the projections onto the discrete components.
Our construction of the invariant differential operators is carried out uniformly in the framework of H-type groups and also works for those H-type groups which do not occur as nilpotent radical of a parabolic subgroup in a semisimple group.

2010 MSC: Primary 22E46; Secondary 22E25.

Key words and phrases: Lie groups, complementary series, Sobolev type spaces, invariant differential operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of branching rules is one of the central topics in representation theory. Given a unitary representation π of a Lie group G and a closed subgroup $G_1 \subseteq G$ the objective is to find the decomposition of π into irreducible representations of G_1. This decomposition consists in general of a discrete and a continuous part; for various analytic considerations it is of importance to find the discrete components in this decomposition. The probably most studied class of representations in this context is the discrete series, see e.g. the work of Duflo–Vargas [9], Gross–Wallach [11], Kobayashi [13, 14], Ørsted–Vargas [21], Zhang [24] and references therein.

There is another somewhat opposite class of representations, the complementary series, which is of substancial interest in the spectral theory of locally symmetric spaces, in particular rank-one spaces. Recently various authors studied discrete components in the restriction of complementary series of rank one groups to symmetric subgroups, see the work of Kobayashi–Speh [17], Möllers–Oshima [20], Speh–Venkataramana [22], Speh–Zhang [23] and Zhang [25]. For rank one orthogonal groups the discrete spectrum is known by [20] and can explicitly be constructed in terms of Juhl's covariant differential operators [12], see [17, 20, 23]. For the other rank one groups Speh–Zhang [23] found finitely many discrete components in the restriction, without providing an explicit construction of these components.

Research by G. Zhang partially supported by the Swedish Science Council (VR).

In the present paper we shall find explicit embeddings for all discrete components given in [23]. For this we use the realization of complementary series representations on certain function spaces on a nilpotent group N, the nilpotent radical of a minimal parabolic. For rank-one groups the nilpotent groups in question are H-type groups, a generalization of the Heisenberg group. We explicitly construct invariant differential restriction operators between N and the H-type subgroup $N_1 \subseteq N$ corresponding to G_1. These operators realize the projections onto the discrete components. Our construction is carried out uniformly in the framework of H-type groups and even provides invariant differential restriction operators between complementary series representations of harmonic extensions of H-type groups studied earlier in [3, 6, 8]. We remark that in particular the Heisenberg group $N = \mathbb{C}^n \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ and its subgroups $N_1 = \mathbb{C}^m \ltimes \mathbb{R}$ are special cases of our setting.

Let us explain our results in more detail.

1.1. **Complementary series of H-type groups.** Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} + \mathfrak{z}$ be the Lie algebra of an H-type group N with $\mathfrak{z}$ being the center acting on $\mathfrak{v}$ as a Clifford module. There are essentially two N-invariant second order differential operators on N, the Kohn sub-Laplacian Δ defined by vector fields in $\mathfrak{v}$, and the central Laplacian $\Box$ defined by vector fields in $\mathfrak{z}$. The complementary series representations (π_ν, X_ν), the parameter ν being in a certain interval, are unitary representations of the harmonic extension $S = N \rtimes A$ of N where $A = \mathbb{R}_+$ acts on N by dilations. They can be realized on certain Sobolev type spaces X_ν on N with norm given by $u \mapsto \|\Delta^{\frac{\rho-\nu}{2}} u\|_{L^2(N)}$ where $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathfrak{v} + 2 \dim \mathfrak{z})$ (note that this is not the S-invariant norm but equivalent to it, see Section 2.7 for details).

For an H-type subgroup $N_1 \subseteq N$ (see Section 2.3 for the precise definition) denote by Δ_1 the Kohn sub-Laplacian on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}_1$ and by $(\pi_\nu^\flat, X_\nu^\flat)$ the corresponding complementary series representations of the harmonic extension $S_1 = N_1 \rtimes A \subseteq S$.

1.2. **Invariant differential operators.** In the present paper we construct a meromorphic family $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, of differential restriction operators $C_c^\infty(N) \to C_c^\infty(N_1)$ which are polynomial in Δ, Δ_1 and $\Box$ and intertwine the representations π_ν and $\pi_{\nu+2k}^\flat$ (see Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.6). We further show that for certain parameters ν and k the operators $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}$ are bounded with respect to the respective Sobolev type norms and hence induce intertwining operators $X_\nu \to X_{\nu+2k}^\flat$ between the Hilbert spaces (see Theorem 4.1).

1.3. **Application to branching rules.** In the case where NA is the Iwasawa subgroup of a rank one semisimple Lie group G, i.e. $G = NAK$ with $K \subseteq G$ maximal compact, the complementary series representations X_ν of NA extend to irreducible unitary representations of G. Assume that $N_1 A$ is the Iwasawa subgroup of a subgroup G_1 of G. Then the adjoint operators $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}^* : X_{\nu+2k}^\flat \to X_\nu$ are actually G_1-equivariant isometric embeddings and hence realize $X_{\nu+2k}^\flat$ explicitly as subrepresentations of X_ν (see Theorem 5.2). We remark that one can also view this embedding in the dual picture: Since $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}$ is a differential restriction operator its dual operator $\mathcal{D}'_{\nu,k} : (X_{\nu+2k}^\flat)' \to X_\nu'$ embeds $(X_{\nu+2k}^\flat)'$ as distributions on N supported on the subspace N_1.

1.4. **Relation to previous results.** In the degenerate case where $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{R}^n$ is abelian and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ the operators $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}$ were constructed before by Juhl [12] and generalized to arbitrary signature in [15] (see Remark 3.11 for details). They were used to construct discrete components in the restriction of complementary series of $SO(1, n+1)$ to $SO(1, n)$ by Kobayashi–Speh [17] and Möllers–Oshima [20]. For other rank one groups the abstract existence of the discrete components in the branching rule was previously established by Speh–Zhang [23] without giving an explicit embedding. This way of obtaining intertwining operators has also been investigated recently; see e.g. [1, 2, 17, 26]. Our invariant differential operator is roughly speaking a meromorphic continuation of a family of operators obtained by a composition of some G_1-intertwining multiplication operators and the Knapp-Stein integral intertwining operator.

2. Harmonic analysis on H-type groups

We recall some facts about H-type groups, harmonic extensions and their representation theory. For details we refer the reader to [5, Section 3] and references therein.

2.1. **H-type groups.** Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a Lie algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ with corresponding norm $|\cdot|$. Assume that there exists an orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} + \mathfrak{z}$ with $[\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}] = \mathfrak{z}$ and $[\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{z}] = 0$ so that $\mathfrak{n}$ is either abelian or two-step nilpotent. We denote

$$p = \dim \mathfrak{v}, \quad q = \dim \mathfrak{z}, \quad \rho = \frac{p + 2q}{2}.$$

The integer 2ρ is also called the homogeneous dimension of N. For every $z \in \mathfrak{z}$ the identity

$$\langle J_Z X, Y \rangle = \langle Z, [X, Y] \rangle, \qquad X, Y \in \mathfrak{v},$$

defines a skew-symmetric linear map $J_Z : \mathfrak{v} \to \mathfrak{v}$. The nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ and its corresponding nilpotent Lie group N are called of H-type if

$$J_Z^2 = -16|Z|^2.$$

(Note that in the literature normally the convention $J_Z^2 = -|Z|^2$ is used which amounts to a simple scaling of the center. However, for our formulas and calculations the above convention is more convenient.)

We note that this condition can be reformulated in terms of Clifford modules. In fact the space $\mathfrak{v}$ is a module over the Clifford algebra $C(\mathfrak{z})$ associated to $(\mathfrak{z}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. For each $\mathfrak{z}$ there is up to isomorphism only one irreducible Clifford module $\mathfrak{v}_0$ and hence $\mathfrak{v} \simeq \mathfrak{v}_0^n$. In Table 1 we list the dimensions of $\mathfrak{v}_0$. However, we will not use this classification in the rest of this article; we only note that for a given $\mathfrak{v}$ one can find all of its Clifford submodules and thus its H-type subalgebras (see Section 2.3) that we study in the present paper.

$q = \dim \mathfrak{z}$	$8k$	$8k+1$	$8k+2$	$8k+3$	$8k+4$	$8k+5$	$8k+6$	$8k+7$
$d = \dim \mathfrak{v}_0$	2^{4k}	2^{4k+1}	2^{4k+2}	2^{4k+2}	2^{4k+3}	2^{4k+3}	2^{4k+3}	2^{4k+3}

TABLE 1. Dimensions of irreducible Clifford modules

Example 2.1. (1) For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ denote by $X \mapsto \overline{X}$ the canonical involution on $\mathbb{F}$. For $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ we write $X^* = (\overline{X_1}, \dots, \overline{X_n})^t$. We endow $\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{F}^n$ with the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = \Re(XY^*)$. Further, put $\mathfrak{z} = \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{F} = \{X \in \mathbb{F} : X + \overline{X} = 0\}$, endowed with the restriction of the inner product on $\mathbb{F}$. Then the space $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} + \mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{F}$ turns into an H-type Lie algebra if endowed with the Lie bracket

$$[(X, Z), (Y, W)] = (0, 2(XY^* - YX^*)).$$

The corresponding map $J : \mathfrak{z} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{v})$ is given by

$$J_Z X = -4Z \cdot X.$$

The same construction also works for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{O}$ and $n = 1$ where $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{O} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O}$. These H-type groups all appear as nilpotent part in the Iwasawa decomposition of rank one semisimple Lie groups (see Section 5.1). Note that the irreducible Clifford module of $C(\mathfrak{z})$ is $\mathfrak{v}_0 = \mathbb{F}$ in these cases.

(2) An H-type group which is not the nilpotent part in the Iwasawa decomposition of a semisimple Lie group is given by $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{H}^{m+n} \oplus \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, $m, n \geq 1$, with corresponding map $J : \mathfrak{z} \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{v})$ given by (cf. [4, Section 1])

$$J_Z X = -4(Z \cdot X_1, \dots, Z \cdot X_m, X_{m+1} \cdot Z, \dots, X_{m+n} \cdot Z).$$

We identify the group N with its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ using the group product

$$(X, Z) \cdot (Y, W) := (X + Y, Z + W + \tfrac{1}{2}[X, Y]), \qquad X, Y \in \mathfrak{v}, Z, W \in \mathfrak{z}.$$

In what follows we use capital letters (X, Z) for an element of the Lie algebra and small letters n for an element of the Lie group. The Haar measure dn on N will be normalized by

$$\int_N f(n)dn = k(p, q)^{-1} \int_{\mathfrak{v}} \int_{\mathfrak{z}} f(X, Z)dZdX,$$

where dX and dZ are the Lebesgue measures on $\mathfrak{v}$ and $\mathfrak{z}$ normalized by the inner product and

$$k(p, q) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{p+q+1}{2}} 2^{1-p-q}}{\Gamma(\frac{p+q+1}{2})}.$$

We need some basic identities for H-type groups:

$$|J_Z X|^2 = \langle J_Z X, J_Z X \rangle = -\langle J_Z^2 X, X \rangle = 16|Z|^2|X|^2,$$
$$J_{[X,X']} X = 16|X|^2 P_{J_{\mathfrak{z}} X} X',$$

where $P_{J_{\mathfrak{z}} X}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $\mathfrak{v}$ onto the subspace $J_{\mathfrak{z}} X$.

2.2. **Solvable extensions of H-type groups.** Let $A = \mathbb{R}_+$ act on N by $t \cdot (X, Z) = (tX, t^2 Z)$. Then the semi-direct product $S = N \rtimes A$ is a Lie group with the group product

$$(X, Z, t) \cdot (Y, W, s) = (X + tY, Z + t^2 W + \frac{1}{2} t[X, Y], t \cdot s)$$

We will write $S = NA$ for short and call S the solvable extension of N. S is sometimes also called the harmonic extension as it is a homogeneous harmonic Riemannian manifold [7].

2.3. **H-type subgroups.** Let $\mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{v}_1 + \mathfrak{v}_2$ be an orthogonal decomposition such that $[\mathfrak{v}_1, \mathfrak{v}_2] = 0$ and $[\mathfrak{v}_1, \mathfrak{v}_1] = \mathfrak{z}$. (Such a $\mathfrak{v}_1$ is sometimes also called a Clifford submodule, see [4].) Then $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{v}_1 + \mathfrak{z}$ is an H-type subalgebra of $\mathfrak{n}$. Denote by N_1 the corresponding subgroup of N and by $S_1 = N_1 A$ the harmonic extension of N_1 which is a closed subgroup of $S = NA$. We put

$$p_1 = \dim \mathfrak{v}_1, \quad p_2 = \dim \mathfrak{v}_2, \quad \rho_1 = \frac{p_1 + 2q}{2}.$$

2.4. **Differential operators.** For $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ the corresponding left-invariant differential operator will also be denoted by X, namely

$$(Xf)(n) = \frac{d}{dt}(f(n \exp(tX))|_{t=0}.$$

On the Lie algebra level the left-invariant differential operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Sf(X,Z) &= \partial_S f(X,Z) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{[S,X]} f(X,Z), && S \in \mathfrak{v}, \\ Tf(X,Z) &= \partial_T f(X,Z), && T \in \mathfrak{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(S_j)_{j=1,\dots,p_1} \subseteq \mathfrak{v}_1$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{v}_1$ and $(S_j)_{j=p_1+1,\dots,p} \subseteq \mathfrak{v}_2$ an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{v}_2$. The Kohn sub-Laplacians for $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{v}_1 + \mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_2 = \mathfrak{v}_2 + \mathfrak{z}$ are given by

$$\Delta_1 = S_1^2 + \cdots + S_{p_1}^2, \qquad \Delta_2 = S_{p_1+1}^2 + \cdots + S_p^2.$$

The Kohn sub-Laplacian for $\mathfrak{n}$ is the sum

$$\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2.$$

We also need the central Laplacian which is given by

$$\Box = T_1^2 + \cdots + T_q^2,$$

where $(T_j)_j \subseteq \mathfrak{z}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{z}$.

2.5. **Plancherel formula.** We recall very briefly the Plancherel formula on N. For $\mathfrak{z} = \{0\}$ the group N is abelian and the Plancherel formula is the classical Plancherel formula for the Euclidean Fourier transform on $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v}$. Therefore we assume $\mathfrak{z} \neq \{0\}$.

For each $\mu \in \mathfrak{z} \backslash \{0\}$ the map $\frac{1}{4|\mu|} J_\mu$ is a complex structure on $\mathfrak{v}$. Let $\mathfrak{v}_\mu$ denote the space $\mathfrak{v}$ endowed with this complex structure and $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{v}_\mu)$ the space of holomorphic functions on $\mathfrak{v}_\mu$. Further, let $\{\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\}_\mu$ denote the corresponding Hermitian form

$$\{X.Y\}_\mu := \langle X, Y\rangle - i\langle \tfrac{1}{4|\mu|} J_\mu X, Y\rangle.$$

The Fock space

$$\mathcal{F}_\mu(\mathfrak{v}) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{v}_\mu) : \|\xi\|_\mu^2 := \int_{\mathfrak{v}} |\xi(X)|^2 e^{-2|\mu|\cdot|X|^2} dX < \infty \right\}$$

carries an irreducible unitary representation σ_μ of N and $\mathfrak{n}$ given by

$$(\sigma_\mu(X,Z)\xi)(Y) = \exp(-|\mu|[|X|^2 + 2\{Y,X\}_\mu])\exp(i\langle\mu, Z\rangle)\xi(Y+X).$$

Identifying $\mathfrak{z}^*$ with $\mathfrak{z}$ via the inner product the representation σ_μ has infinitesimal character $i\mu$. We remark that the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{v}_\mu)$ of holomorphic polynomials on $\mathfrak{v}_\mu$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}_\mu(\mathfrak{v})$ and the subspaces $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathfrak{v}_\mu)$, $m \geq 0$, of homogeneous polynomials of degree m are mutually orthogonal.

The representation σ_μ of N induces a map, the Weyl transform, $\sigma_\mu : L^1(N) \to \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F}_\mu(\mathfrak{v}))$ by

$$\sigma_\mu(f) = \int_N f(n)\sigma_\mu(n^{-1})dn.$$

This definition is different from the usual convention; the integrand is $\sigma_\mu(n^{-1})$ instead of $\sigma_\mu(n)$. Our definition has the advantage that for left-invariant vector fields $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ we have the following formula preserving the order:

$$\sigma_\mu(Xf) = \sigma_\mu(X)\sigma_\mu(f).$$

If we define the convolution of $f, g \in L^1(N)$ by

$$(f * g)(n') = \int_N f(n^{-1}n')g(n)dn = \int_N f(n)g(n'n^{-1})dn$$

then we further have

$$\sigma_\mu(f * g) = \sigma_\mu(f) \circ \sigma_\mu(g).$$

The following inversion and Plancherel formula for the Weyl transform hold, at least for $f \in C_c^\infty(N)$:

$$f(n) = c(p,q)\int_{\mathfrak{z}} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_\mu(f)\sigma_\mu(n))|\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}}d\mu = c(p,q)\int_{\mathfrak{z}} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_\mu(n)\sigma_\mu(f))|\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}}d\mu$$

and

$$\int_N |f(n)|^2 dn = c(p,q)\int_{\mathfrak{z}} \|\sigma_\mu(f)\|_{HS}^2 |\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}}d\mu, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\|T\|_{HS}^2 = \langle T, T\rangle_{HS} = \mathrm{tr}(TT^*),$$

the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of an operator T on $\mathcal{F}_\mu(\mathfrak{v})$, and

$$c(p,q) = \frac{2^{1-\frac{p}{2}-2q}\pi^{\frac{1-q}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{p+q+1}{2})}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sigma_\mu(\Delta)|_{\mathcal{P}_m(\mathfrak{v}_\mu)} = -2|\mu|(4m+p) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\sigma_\mu(\Box) = -|\mu|^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that the space $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{v}_\mu)$ is the tensor product $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{v}_{1,\mu}) \otimes \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{v}_{2,\mu})$ where $\mathfrak{v}_{i,\mu}$ is the space $\mathfrak{v}_i$ endowed with the complex structure $\frac{1}{4|\mu|}J_\mu|_{\mathfrak{v}_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}_\mu(\mathfrak{v}_1)$

the corresponding Fock space on $\mathfrak{v}_1$ and by $\sigma_\mu^{(1)}$ the Weyl transform for the subgroup N_1. From (2.2) it also follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\mu(\Delta_1)|_{\mathcal{P}_m(\mathfrak{v}_{1,\mu})\otimes\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{v}_{2,\mu})} &= -2|\mu|(4m+p_1),\\ \sigma_\mu(\Delta_2)|_{\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{v}_{1,\mu})\otimes\mathcal{P}_m(\mathfrak{v}_{2,\mu})} &= -2|\mu|(4m+p_2),\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathfrak{v}_{i,\mu})$, $i=1,2$, denotes the subspace of $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{v}_{i,\mu})$ of homogeneous polynomials of degree m.

2.6. **Weyl transform of powers of the norm function.** Let

$$K(X,Z)=|X|^4+|Z|^2, \qquad X\in\mathfrak{v}, Z\in\mathfrak{z},$$

denote the norm function on $\mathfrak{n}$. For $s\in\mathbb{C}$ we define

$$K_s(n):=K(n)^s, \qquad n\in N.$$

The Weyl transform $\sigma_\mu(K_s)$ of the function K_s acts as a scalar on the space of homogeneous polynomials of a fixed degree m. More precisely we have (see [3])

$$\sigma_\mu(K_s)|_{\mathcal{P}_m(\mathfrak{v}_\mu)}=\frac{2^\rho\Gamma(\frac{p+q+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2m-2s-\rho+\frac{p}{2}+1}{2})\Gamma(2s+\rho)}{\Gamma(-s)\Gamma(\frac{-2s-\rho+\frac{p}{2}+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2m+2s+\rho+\frac{p}{2}+1}{2})}|\mu|^{-2s-\rho}. \tag{2.5}$$

2.7. **Unitary representations of the group $S=NA$.** For $\nu\in\mathbb{C}$ denote by χ_ν the character of $A=\mathbb{R}_+$ given by $\chi_\nu(t)=t^{-\nu}$. Consider the induced representation $Ind_A^{NA}(\chi_\nu)$ realized by the left-regular action on the space

$$\{f\in C^\infty(NA): f(ga)=\chi_\nu(a)^{-1}f(g)\,\forall\, g\in NA, a\in A\}.$$

Restricting functions to N gives an isomorphic realization π_ν on the space $C^\infty(N)$ which can be described by

$$\pi_\nu(n)f(n')=f(n^{-1}n'), \quad \pi_\nu(t)f(X,Z)=t^{-\nu}f(t^{-1}X,t^{-2}Z).$$

It restricts to a smooth representation (X_ν^∞,π_ν) of NA on $X_\nu^\infty=C_c^\infty(N)$ by the same formulas. For $\nu\in\rho+i\mathbb{R}$ the representation can be extended to $X_\nu=L^2(N)$ and is unitary on this space.

In [8] Dooley and Zhang determine all real values $\nu\in\mathbb{R}$ for which the representation π_ν is unitarizable. For this let

$$\Lambda_\nu f:=K_{\frac{\nu}{2}-\rho}*f,$$

as meromorphic family of operators on $C_c^\infty(N)$. Denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the standard inner product on $L^2(N)$.

Theorem 2.2 ([3], [8, Theorem 1.1])**.** *The form on $C_c^\infty(N)$ given by*

$$(f,f)_\nu=\langle\Lambda_\nu f,f\rangle \tag{2.6}$$

is S-invariant under π_ν, i.e.

$$(\pi_\nu(g)f,\pi_\nu(g)f)_\nu=(f,f)_\nu \qquad \forall\, g\in S, f\in C_c^\infty(N).$$

It is positive definite if and only if

$$\nu \in \begin{cases} (0, 2\rho) & \text{for } q = 0, \\ (\rho - \frac{p}{2} - 1, \rho + \frac{p}{2} + 1) & \text{for } q > 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case the completion X_ν of $C_c^\infty(N)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\nu^2 = (\cdot,\cdot)_\nu$ forms a unitary representation of $S = NA$ called complementary series.

Remark 2.3. Using the Plancherel formula (2.1) together with (2.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_\nu^2 &= \int_N (K_{\frac{\nu}{2}-\rho} * f)(n)\overline{f(n)}dn \\ &= c(p,q) \int_{\mathfrak{z}} \langle \sigma_\mu(K_{\frac{\nu}{2}-\rho} * f), \sigma_\mu(f)\rangle_{HS} |\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}} d\mu \\ &= c(p,q) \int_{\mathfrak{z}} \langle \sigma_\mu(K_{\frac{\nu}{2}-\rho}) \circ \sigma_\mu(f), \sigma_\mu(f)\rangle_{HS} |\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}} d\mu \\ &= c(p,q) \sum_m \lambda_m(\nu) \int_{\mathfrak{z}} \|P_m \circ \sigma_\mu(f)\|_{HS}^2 |\mu|^{p+q-\nu} d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_m(\nu) = \frac{2^r \Gamma(\frac{p+q+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2m-\nu+\rho+\frac{p}{2}+1}{2})\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\rho-\frac{\nu}{2})\Gamma(\frac{-\nu+\rho+\frac{p}{2}+1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{2m+\nu-\rho+\frac{p}{2}+1}{2})} \sim (1+m)^{\rho-\nu}.$$

Observe that we may generally define certain Sobolev type norms on the nilpotent group N by replacing $\lambda_m(\nu)$ by the standard weight sequence $(1+m)^s$ and study corresponding analytic problems such as boundedness of restriction operators and Sobolev type embedding theorems. Note that by (2.2) the norm $u \mapsto \|u\|_\nu$ is equivalent to the norm $u \mapsto \|\Delta^{\frac{\rho-\nu}{2}} u\|_{L^2(N)}$.

Similarly we denote by $X_\nu^{\flat,\infty}$ the corresponding family of smooth representations of the group $S_1 = N_1 A$ on $C_c^\infty(N_1)$ and by $X_\nu^\flat$ their unitary completions for ν in the interval of the complementary series.

3. Invariant differential operators

We construct a sequence of left-invariant differential operators $D_{s,k}$, $k \geq 0$, on N which induce S_1-intertwining operators $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} : X_\nu^\infty \to X_{\nu+2k}^{\flat,\infty}$.

3.1. **Identities for the norm function.**

First we apply various operators to the functions K_s. Some of these computations are already done in [6, Theorem 2.8] and we present here the full details. Let $\mathfrak{n}_1$ be as in Section 2.3 an H-type subalgebra.

For $X \in \mathfrak{v}$ we use the notation $X = X_1 + X_2$ with $X_1 \in \mathfrak{v}_1$ and $X_2 \in \mathfrak{v}_2$.

Lemma 3.1. (1) $\Delta K_s = B(s)|X|^2 K_{s-1}$ *with* $B(s) = 4s(4s + 2\rho - 2)$,
(2) $\Delta^2 K_s = B(s)(2pK_{s-1} + (B(s-1) + 16(s-1))|X|^4 K_{s-2})$,
(3) $\Box K_s = 2sqK_{s-1} + 4s(s-1)|Z|^2 K_{s-2}$
(4) $\Delta_2 K_s = (4sp_2|X|^2 + 8s(2s+q-1)|X_2|^2)K_{s-1}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_jK_s &= s(4\langle X,S_j\rangle|X|^2-\langle J_ZS_j,X\rangle)K_{s-1},\\
S_j^2K_s &= s(s-1)(4\langle X,S_j\rangle|X|^2-\langle J_ZS_j,X\rangle)^2K_{s-2}\\
&\quad + s(4|X|^2+8\langle X,S_j\rangle^2+\tfrac{1}{2}\langle J_{[X,S_j]}X,S_j\rangle)K_{s-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $1\le j\le p$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta K_s &= s(s-1)(16|X|^6-8|X|^2\langle J_ZX,X\rangle+|J_ZX|^2)K_{s-2}\\
&\quad + s\left(4p|X|^2+8|X|^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{p}\langle J_{[X,S_j]}X,S_j\rangle\right)K_{s-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle J_ZX,X\rangle=0$, $|J_ZX|^2=16|Z|^2|X|^2$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p}\langle J_{[X,S_j]}X,S_j\rangle = 16|X|^2\sum_{j=1}^{p}\langle P_{J_{\mathfrak{z}}X}S_j,S_j\rangle = 16|X|^2\operatorname{tr}(P_{J_{\mathfrak{z}}X}) = 16q|X|^2$$

this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta K_s &= 16s(s-1)|X|^2K_{s-1}+4s\,(2\rho+2)\,|X|^2K_{s-1}\\
&= B(s)|X|^2K_{s-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $p_1+1\le j\le p$ instead gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_2K_s &= s(s-1)\left(16|X_2|^2|X|^4-8|X|^2\langle J_ZX_2,X\rangle+\sum_{j=p_1+1}^{p}\langle J_ZX_2,S_j\rangle^2\right)K_{s-2}\\
&\quad + s\left(4p_2|X|^2+8|X_2|^2+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=p_1+1}^{p}\langle J_{[X,S_j]}X,S_j\rangle\right)K_{s-1}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $J_ZX_2\in\mathfrak{v}_2$ and hence $\langle J_ZX_2,X\rangle=\langle J_ZX_2,X_2\rangle=0$. Further, $\langle J_ZX_2,S_j\rangle=0$ for $j=1,\dots,p_1$ and therefore $\sum_{j=p_1+1}^{p}\langle J_ZX_2,S_j\rangle^2=|J_ZX_2|^2=16|Z|^2|X_2|^2$. Finally, $\sum_{j=p_1+1}^{p}\langle J_{[X,S_j]}X,S_j\rangle=\sum_{j=p_1+1}^{p}\langle J_{[X_2,S_j]}X_2,S_j\rangle=16q|X_2|^2$ as above. Together this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 16s(s-1)|X_2|^2K_{s-1}+4sp_2|X|^2K_{s-1}+8s(q+1)|X_2|^2K_{s-1}\\
&= (4sp_2|X|^2+8s(2s+q-1)|X_2|^2)K_{s-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

To calculate Δ^2K_s we first note that $\Delta|X|^2=2p$. Applying Δ to $|X|^2K_{s-1}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2K_s &= B(s)\Bigg(B(s-1)|X|^4K_{s-2}+2pK_{s-1}\\
&\qquad\qquad + 2\sum_{j=1}^{p}2\langle X,S_j\rangle(s-1)(4\langle X,S_j\rangle|X|^2-\langle J_ZS_j,X\rangle)K_{s-2}\Bigg)\\
&= B(s)(2pK_{s-1}+(B(s-1)+16(s-1))K_{s-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$T_jK_s = 2s\langle Z, T_j\rangle K_{s-1},$$
$$T_j^2K_s = 2sK_{s-1} + 4s(s-1)\langle Z, T_j\rangle^2 K_{s-2}$$

and hence

$$\Box K_s = 2qsK_{s-1} + 4s(s-1)|Z|^2K_{s-2}. \qquad \square$$

Corollary 3.2. (1) $(\Delta^2+4(4s+2\rho-2)^2\Box)K_s = 2B(s)(2s+q-1)(4s+2\rho-4)K_{s-1}$,
(2) $(\Delta_2 - \frac{p_2}{4s+2\rho-2}\Delta)K_s = 8s(2s+q-1)|X_2|^2K_{s-1}$

Next we study the action of the Kohn sub-Laplacians on functions of the form $|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m}$.

Lemma 3.3. (1) $\Delta(|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m}) = 4(s-m)(4s+2\rho-2)|X|^2|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m-1} + 2m(2m+p_2-2)|X_2|^{2m-2}K_{s-m}$,
(2) $\Delta_2(|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m}) = 4(s-m)(4m+p_2)|X|^2|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m-1} + 8(s-m)(2s+q-2m-1)|X_2|^{2m+2}K_{s-m-1} + 2m(2m+p_2-2)|X_2|^{2m-2}K_{s-m}$.

Proof. To calculate $\Delta(|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m})$ we first note that $\Delta|X_2|^{2m} = \Delta_2|X_2|^{2m} = 2m(2m+p_2-2)|X_2|^{2m-2}$. Hence we obtain with Lemma 3.1 (1)

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m}) &= B(s-m)|X|^2|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m-1} + 2m(2m+p_2-2)|X_2|^{2m-2}K_{s-m}\\ &\quad + 2\sum_{j=p_1+1}^{p} 2m\langle X, S_j\rangle|X_2|^{2m-2}\cdot(s-m)(4\langle X, S_j\rangle|X|^2 - \langle J_ZS_j, X\rangle)K_{s-m-1}\\ &= B(s-m)|X|^2|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m-1} + 2m(2m+p_2-2)|X_2|^{2m-2}K_{s-m}\\ &\quad + 4m(s-m)(4|X_2|^2|X|^2 - \langle J_ZX_2, X\rangle)|X_2|^{2m-2}K_{s-m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle J_ZX_2, X\rangle = \langle J_ZX_2, X_2\rangle = 0$ this shows (1). For (2) we calculate with Lemma 3.1 (4) and the previous calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_2(|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m}) &= (4(s-m)p_2|X|^2 + 8(s-m)(2s+q-2m-1)|X_2|^2)|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m-1}\\ &\quad + 2m(2m+p_2-2)|X_2|^{2m-2}K_{s-m} + 16m(s-m)|X|^2|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m-1}\end{aligned}$$

which shows (2). $\square$

Corollary 3.4.

$$\begin{aligned}&((4s+2\rho-2)\Delta_2 - (4m+p_2)\Delta)(|X_2|^{2m}K_{s-m})\\ &\quad = 8(s-m)(4s+2\rho-2)(2s+q-2m-1)|X_2|^{2m+2}K_{s-m-1}\\ &\qquad + 2m(2m+p_2-2)(4s+2\rho_1-4m-2)|X_2|^{2m-2}K_{s-m}.\end{aligned}$$

3.2. **Construction of the differential operators.** We now construct constant coefficient differential operators $D_{s,k}$ inductively by putting

$$D_{s,0} := 1,$$
$$D_{s,1} := \frac{1}{8s(4s+2\rho-2)(2s+q-1)}\Big((4s+2\rho-2)\Delta_2 - p_2\Delta\Big),$$

and

(3.1)

$$D_{s,k+1} := \frac{1}{8(s-k)(4s+2\rho-2)(2s+q-2k-1)}\Big[\Big((4s+2\rho-2)\Delta_2-(4k+p_2)\Delta\Big)D_{s,k} - \frac{2k(2k+p_2-2)(4s+2\rho_1-4k-2)}{2B(s)(2s+q-1)(4s+2\rho-4)}\Big(\Delta^2+4(4s+2\rho-2)^2\Box\Big)D_{s-1,k-1}\Big].$$

We note that the operator $D_{s,k}$ is defined in particular if $-2s \in (\rho-\frac{p}{2}-1,\rho)$ and $-2s+2k \in (\rho_1-\frac{p_1}{2}-1,\rho_1)$.

Proposition 3.5. *The constant coefficient differential operator $D_{s,k}$ is of the form*

$$D_{s,k} = \sum_{i+j+2\ell=k} C_{s,k}(i,j,\ell)\Delta_1^i\Delta_2^j\Box^\ell.$$

Further, $D_{s,k}$ satisfies

$$D_{s,k}K_s = |X_2|^{2k}K_{s-k}.$$

Proof. Only the last statement is non-trivial. We prove it by induction on k. For $k=0$ this is trivial and for $k=1$ this is Corollary 3.2 (2). Now suppose we have $D_{t,\ell}K_t = |X_2|^{2\ell}K_{t-\ell}$ for every t and $0 \le \ell \le k$. We apply this identity for $(t,\ell)=(s,k)$ and $(t,\ell)=(s-1,k-1)$ to the identity in Corollary 3.4 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}((4s+2\rho-2)\Delta_2-(4k+p_2)\Delta)D_{s,k}K_s &= 8(s-k)(4s+2\rho-2)(2s+q-2k-1)|X_2|^{2k+2}K_{s-k-1}\\ &\quad + 2k(2k+p_2-2)(4s+2\rho_1-4k-2)D_{s-1,k-1}K_{s-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.2 (1) we can write K_{s-1} as a differential operator consisting of Δ and $\Box$ applied to K_s. Inserting this into the above identity and isolating $|X_2|^{2k+2}K_{s-k-1}$ gives the claim. □

3.3. **Intertwining property.** In this section we show that Proposition 3.5 implies an intertwining property for the operators $D_{s,k}$. For this let $R : C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{n}) \to C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{n}_1)$ denote the restriction operator.

Theorem 3.6. *For each $k \ge 0$ the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} := R \circ D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k}$ is S_1-intertwining between $(X_\nu^\infty,\pi_\nu)|_{S_1} \to (X_{\nu+2k}^{\flat,\infty},\pi_{\nu+2k}^\flat)$.*

For this consider the restriction operator R and the multiplication operator

$$M_k : C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{n}) \to C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{n}), \quad M_k f(X,Z) = |X_2|^{2k} f(X,Z).$$

Lemma 3.7. *R is an S_1-intertwining operator $X_\beta^\infty \to X_\beta^{\flat,\infty}$ and M_k an S_1-intertwining operator $X_\beta^\infty \to X_{\beta-2k}^{\flat,\infty}$.*

Recall the meromorphic family of Knapp–Stein intertwining operators $\Lambda_\nu : X_\nu^\infty \to X_{2\rho-\nu}^\infty$ which are S-equivariant and are isomorphisms for ν in the interval of the complementary series (see Theorem 2.2). The composition $\Lambda_\nu \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu} : X_{2\rho-\nu}^\infty \to X_{2\rho-\nu}^\infty$ is a scalar multiple of the identity:

$$\Lambda_\nu \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu} = a(\nu) \cdot \mathrm{id}_{X_{2\rho-\nu}}$$

with some meromorphic function $a(\nu)$ which is non-zero for ν in the interval of the complementary series.

The following proposition together with the previous lemma implies Theorem 3.6:

Proposition 3.8. *We have, as meromorphic identity in ν:*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} = a(\nu)^{-1} \cdot R \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu-2k} \circ M_k \circ \Lambda_\nu. \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 3.9. The idea of obtaining an operator which is intertwining for a subgroup S_1 by "conjugating" an intertwining multiplication operator M_k by classical Knapp–Stein operators Λ_ν and $\Lambda_{2\rho-\nu-2k}$ was used before by Beckmann–Clerc [1]. In their case the underlying nilpotent group N was abelian and they could use the Euclidean Fourier transform to turn the convolution operators Λ_ν and $\Lambda_{2\rho-\nu-2k}$ into multiplication operators, simplifying the calculations. This technique does not work in our case.

Proof of Proposition 3.8. Since both sides of the identity (3.2) are meromorphic in ν it suffices to prove it for ν in the interval of the complementary series (see Theorem 2.2). Here $\Lambda_{2\rho-\nu}$ is an isomorphism and we may multiply (3.2) from the right with it and equivalently show

$$\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu} = R \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu-2k} \circ M_k. \tag{3.3}$$

We first calculate the right hand side of (3.3):

$$\begin{aligned}(R \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu-2k} \circ M_k)F(n_1) &= \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu-2k} M_k F(n_1) \\ &= \int_N K_{-\frac{\nu}{2}-k}(n^{-1}n_1)|X_2|^{2k} F(n)dn,\end{aligned}$$

where $n = (X, Z)$. To calculate the left hand side we apply $D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu}$ to F and find

$$(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu})F(n) = \int_N (D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k})_n K_{-\frac{1}{2}\nu}(n'^{-1}n)F(n')dn'.$$

Since $D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k}$ is left-invariant we find by Proposition 3.5

$$\begin{aligned}(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k})_n K_{-\frac{1}{2}\nu}(n'^{-1}n) &= (D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} K_{-\frac{1}{2}\nu})(n'^{-1}n) \\ &= |(n'^{-1}n)_2|^{2k} K_{-\frac{1}{2}\nu-k}(n'^{-1}n),\end{aligned}$$

where $(n'^{-1}n)_2$ is the $\mathfrak{v}_2$-component of $n'^{-1}n$. Restricting to $n = n_1 \in N_1$ gives $|(n'^{-1}n)_2| = |X_2'|$ with $n' = (X', Z')$ and $X' = X_1' + X_2'$, $X_j' \in \mathfrak{v}_j$. Hence we obtain

$$(R \circ D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu})F(n_1) = \int_N K_{-\frac{1}{2}\nu-k}(n'^{-1}n_1)|X_2'|^{2k} F(n')dn'. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence the claimed identity follows. □

Remark 3.10. Equation (3.4) shows that the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} \circ \Lambda_{2\rho-\nu}$ is the integral operator $C_c^\infty(N) \to C^\infty(N_1)$ given by the kernel $K_{-\frac{1}{2}\nu-k}(n^{-1}n_1)|X_2|^{2k}$ with $n = (X_1+X_2, Z) \in N$, $n_1 \in N_1$. These kernels were for $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{R}^n$ first constructed by Kobayashi–Speh [17] and then generalized by Möllers–Ørsted–Oshima [19] to more general situations including the cases $\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{F}^n \oplus \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{F}$ with $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$, $n \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{O}$, $n = 1$.

Remark 3.11. In the degenerate case $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{v}_1 = \mathbb{R}^{p_1}$ we have $\Delta_1 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p_1}^2}$ and $\Delta_2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p_1+1}^2} + \cdots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2}$. Further $\square = 0$ and hence the operators $D_{s,k}$ are basically one-variable polynomials. They can be described using the classical Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)$, see Appendix A for their definition and properties. More precisely, define two-variable polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z,w)$ by

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z,w) := w^n P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}\left(2\frac{z}{w}+1\right),$$

then

$$D_{s,k} = \frac{k!}{2^{2k}(-2s)_{2k}(-2s-\frac{p}{2}+1)_k} P_k^{(\frac{p_2}{2}-1,-2s-\frac{p}{2})}(\Delta_2,\Delta_1).$$

This can be seen using the recurrence relation (3.1) and the following identity for the polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z,w)$ which follows from (A.3):

$$\begin{aligned}&(n+\beta+1)\left[(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)z+(2n+\alpha+1)w\right]P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z,w)\\&\quad=(n+\alpha)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)(z+w)^2P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta+2)}(z,w)+(n+1)(\beta+1)P_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z,w).\end{aligned}$$

In the special case $p_1 = p-1$ the Jacobi polynomials reduce to Gegenbauer polynomials (see (A.4)) and the operators $D_{s,k}$ can be written as

$$D_{s,k} = \frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k}(-2s)_{2k}(-4s-p+1)_{2k}} C_k^{-2s-\frac{p-1}{2}}(\Delta_2,\Delta_1),$$

where $C_n^\lambda(z,w)$ is the homogeneous polynomial of order n which is defined using the classical Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^\lambda(z)$ as follows:

$$C_n^\lambda(z,w) := w^n C_{2n}^\lambda\left(i\sqrt{\frac{z}{w}}\right).$$

The operators $C_n^\lambda(\Delta_2,\Delta_1)$ were first constructed by Juhl [12] (see also [15, 17]). We further remark that the polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z,w)$ and $C_n^\lambda(z,w)$ also appear in the description of intertwining differential operators for holomorphic symmetric pairs by Kobayashi–Pevzner [16] (note the different definition of $C_n^\lambda(z,w)$).

4. Boundedness

This section is devoted to the proof of our main result:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\nu > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\rho - \frac{p}{2} - 1 < \nu < \rho$ and $\rho_1 - \frac{p_1}{2} - 1 < \nu + 2k < \rho_1$ then the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} : X_\nu^\infty \to X_{\nu+2k}^{\flat,\infty}$ is a bounded S_1-intertwining operator and hence extends to a bounded intertwining operator $X_\nu \to X_{\nu+2k}^\flat$ between Hilbert spaces.*

For the abelian case $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{v}_1 = \mathbb{R}^m$ these results were already obtained by Kobayashi–Speh [17] and by Möllers–Oshima [20]. Hence we assume $\mathfrak{z} \neq \{0\}$ for the rest of this section which allows us to use the Plancherel formula for N an N_1 as formulated in Section 2.5 as well as Remark 2.3.

4.1. **Operator-valued partial trace.** We first study the composition of the Weyl transform with the restiction operator $R : X_\nu^\infty \to X_\nu^{\flat,\infty}$. For this we introduce the operator-valued partial trace. For a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ let $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the space of operators T on $\mathcal{H}$ such that for some (or equivalently all) orthonormal bases (e_α) of $\mathcal{H}$ the sequence $\langle Te_\alpha, e_\alpha\rangle$ is rapidly decreasing. Further, for two Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, denote by $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ the Hilbert space tensor product.

Lemma 4.2. *The identity*

$$\langle \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(T), S\rangle = \langle T, S \otimes I\rangle$$

defines a map

$$\mathrm{tr}^{(2)} : \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H}_1)$$

called the operator-valued partial trace. It has the following properties:

(1) $\mathrm{tr}^{(2)}((S \otimes I) \circ T) = S \circ \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(T)$,
(2) $\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(T)) = \mathrm{tr}(T)$.

We can express the Weyl transform of a restriction in terms of the operator-valued partial trace:

Lemma 4.3.

$$\sigma_\mu^{(1)}(Rf) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p_1+q+1}{2})}{2^{\frac{p-p_1}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{p+q+1}{2})}|\mu|^{\frac{p-p_1}{2}} \cdot \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_\mu(f)).$$

Proof. We use the Fourier inversion formula to find that for $n_1 \in N_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} Rf(n_1) = f(n_1) &= c(p,q)\int_{\mathfrak{z}} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_\mu(n_1) \circ \sigma_\mu(f))|\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}}d\mu \\ &= c(p,q)\int_{\mathfrak{z}} \mathrm{tr}((\sigma_\mu^{(1)}(n_1) \otimes I) \circ \sigma_\mu(f))|\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}}d\mu \\ &= c(p,q)\int_{\mathfrak{z}} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_\mu^{(1)}(n_1) \circ \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_\mu(f)))|\mu|^{\frac{p}{2}}d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$Rf(n_1) = c(p_1,q)\int_{\mathfrak{z}} \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_\mu^{(1)}(n_1) \circ \sigma_\mu^{(1)}(Rf))|\mu|^{\frac{p_1}{2}}d\mu$$

whence

$$\sigma_\mu^{(1)}(Rf) = \frac{c(p,q)}{c(p_1,q)}|\mu|^{\frac{p-p_1}{2}}\,\mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_\mu(f)).$$

□

4.2. **Proof of boundedness.** Now we show the boundedness of the intertwining operators $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}$. We shall need an elementary fact (see also [25, Lemma 3.5]):

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $\alpha > -1$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $\beta - \alpha > 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)^{\alpha}}{(n+q+1)^{\beta}} \leq C \frac{1}{(q+1)^{\beta-\alpha-1}} \qquad \forall\, q \geq 0.$$

Theorem 4.5. *For $\nu \in (\rho - \frac{p}{2} - 1, \rho)$, $\nu + 2k \in (\rho_1 - \frac{p_1}{2} - 1, \rho_1)$ the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} : X^{\infty}_{\nu} \to X^{\flat,\infty}_{\nu+2k}$ is bounded.*

Proof. By Remark 2.3 it suffices to show that

$$\sum_n \lambda^{\flat}_n(\nu+2k) \|P^{(1)}_n \circ \sigma^{(1)}_{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} f)\|^2_{HS} \leq C|\mu|^{2k+p-p_1} \sum_m \lambda_m(\nu) \|P_m \circ \sigma_{\mu}(f)\|^2_{HS}.$$

By Lemma 4.3 this is equivalent to showing

$$\sum_n \lambda^{\flat}_n(\nu+2k) \|P^{(1)}_n \circ \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_{\mu}(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} f))\|^2_{HS} \leq C|\mu|^{2k} \sum_m \lambda_m(\nu) \|P_m \circ \sigma_{\mu}(f)\|^2_{HS}.$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(1)}_n \circ \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_{\mu}(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} f)) &= \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}((P^{(1)}_n \otimes \mathbf{1}) \sigma_{\mu}(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} f)) \\ &= \sum_{N \geq n} \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}((P^{(1)}_n \otimes P^{(2)}_{N-n}) \sigma_{\mu}(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k}) \sigma_{\mu}(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 3.5 together with (2.3) and (2.4) we find that

$$(P^{(1)}_n \otimes P^{(2)}_{N-n}) \sigma_{\mu}(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k}) = A(N,n)|\mu|^k (P^{(1)}_n \otimes P^{(2)}_{N-n})$$

with some polynomial $A(N,n)$ of order $\leq k$, depending on ν. Hence

$$P^{(1)}_n \circ \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_{\mu}(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} f)) = |\mu|^k \sum_{N \geq n} A(N,n) \cdot \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}((P^{(1)}_n \otimes P^{(2)}_{N-n}) T)$$

with $T = \sigma_\mu(f)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|P_n^{(1)} \circ \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_\mu(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} f))\|_{HS} \\
&= \sup_{\|S\|_{HS}=1} |\langle P_n^{(1)} \circ \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_\mu(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k} f)), S\rangle| \\
&\leq |\mu|^k \sup_{\|S\|_{HS}=1} \sum_{N\geq n} |A(N,n)| \cdot |\langle \mathrm{tr}^{(2)}((P_n^{(1)} \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T), S\rangle| \\
&= |\mu|^k \sup_{\|S\|_{HS}=1} \sum_{N\geq n} |A(N,n)| \cdot |\langle (P_n^{(1)} \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T, S \otimes \mathbf{1}\rangle| \\
&= |\mu|^k \sup_{\|S\|_{HS}=1} \sum_{N\geq n} |A(N,n)| \cdot |\langle (P_n^{(1)} \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T, (P_n^{(1)} S \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})\rangle| \\
&\leq |\mu|^k \sup_{\|S\|_{HS}=1} \sum_{N\geq n} |A(N,n)| \cdot \|(P_n^{(1)} \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T\|_{HS} \cdot \|P_n^{(1)} S \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)}\|_{HS} \\
&= |\mu|^k \sup_{\|S\|_{HS}=1} \sum_{N\geq n} |A(N,n)| \cdot \|(P_n^{(1)} \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T\|_{HS} \cdot \|P_n^{(1)} S\|_{HS} \cdot \|P_{N-n}^{(2)}\|_{HS} \\
&= |\mu|^k \sum_{N\geq n} |A(N,n)| \cdot \|(P_n^{(1)} \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T\|_{HS} \cdot \|P_{N-n}^{(2)}\|_{HS} \\
&\leq |\mu|^m \left(\sum_{N\geq n} \lambda_N(\nu) \|(P_n^{(1)} \otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T\|_{HS}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{N\geq n} \frac{|A(N,n)|^2 \|P_{N-n}^{(2)}\|_{HS}^2}{\lambda_N(\nu)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now $\|P_{N-n}^{(2)}\|_{HS}^2 = \dim \mathcal{P}_{N-n}^{(2)}$ and

$$\dim \mathcal{P}_{N-n}^{(2)} = \binom{d+N-n-1}{d-1} \sim (N-n+1)^{d-1}$$

with $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{v}_2 = \frac{p-p_1}{2}$. Further note that by Remark 2.3:

$$\lambda_N(\nu) \sim (N+1)^{\rho-\nu}, \qquad \lambda_n^\flat(\nu+2k) \sim (n+1)^{\rho_1-\nu-2k}.$$

For $N \geq n$ we can moreover estimate $|A(N,n)|$ by $(N+1)^k$. Using Lemma 4.4 we can then estimate the second sum:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{N\geq n} \frac{|A(N,n)|^2 \|P_{N-n}^{(2)}\|_{HS}^2}{\lambda_N(\nu)} &\leq C \sum_{N\geq n} \frac{(N+1)^{2k}(N-n+1)^{d-1}}{(N+1)^{\rho-\nu}} \\
&= C \sum_{\ell} \frac{(\ell+1)^{d-1}}{(\ell+n+1)^{\rho-\nu-2k}} \\
&\leq \frac{C'}{(n+1)^{\rho-\nu-2k-d}} \leq \frac{C''}{\lambda_n^\flat(\nu+2k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this above yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_n \lambda_n^\flat(\nu+2k)\|P_n^{(1)}\operatorname{tr}^{(2)}(\sigma_\mu(D_{-\frac{\nu}{2},k}f))\|_{HS}^2\\ &\le C''|\mu|^{2k}\sum_n\sum_{N\ge n}\lambda_N(\nu)\|(P_n^{(1)}\otimes P_{N-n}^{(2)})T\|_{HS}^2\\ &= C''|\mu|^{2k}\sum_N \lambda_N(\nu)\|P_NT\|_{HS}^2\end{aligned}$$

which shows the claim. □

5. Application to rank one semisimple Lie groups

In the case where N resp. N_1 is the nilradical of a parabolic subgroup in a rank one semisimple Lie group G resp. G_1 the representations X_ν resp. $X_\nu^\flat$ extend to irreducible unitary representations of G resp. G_1, the complementary series. In this section we explain how the invariant differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}$ construct discrete components $X_{\nu+2k}^\flat$ in the restriction of X_ν to G_1.

5.1. **Real semisimple Lie groups of rank one.** The connected real semisimple Lie groups of rank one are up to covering given by

$$SO_0(1,n+1),\qquad SU(1,n+1),\qquad Sp(1,n+1),\qquad F_{4(-20)}.$$

Let G be one of the these groups and let $P=MAN$ be a parabolic subgroup of G. Then the nilpotent radical N is an H-type group and NA its harmonic extension. In fact, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ of N is of the following form:

$$\mathfrak{n}\simeq\begin{cases}\mathbb{R}^n & \text{for } G=SO_0(1,n+1),\\ \mathbb{C}^n\oplus\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{C} & \text{for } G=SU(1,n+1),\\ \mathbb{H}^n\oplus\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H} & \text{for } G=Sp(1,n+1),\\ \mathbb{O}\oplus\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{O} & \text{for } G=F_{4(-20)}.\end{cases}$$

We realize G explicitly as the identity component of the group of $(n+2)\times(n+2)$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}\in\{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C},\mathbb{H},\mathbb{O}\}$ which preserve the quadratic form

$$\mathbb{F}^{n+2}\to\mathbb{R},\quad x\mapsto|x_1|^2-|x_2|^2-\cdots-|x_{n+2}|^2.$$

Here $n\ge1$ for $\mathbb{F}\in\{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C},\mathbb{H}\}$ and $n=1$ for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{O}$. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of G which stabilizes the line $\mathbb{F}e_1$. Choosing

$$H:=\begin{pmatrix}0&1&&\\1&0&&\\&&&\\&&&\mathbf{1}_n\end{pmatrix}$$

we can define a parabolic subgroup $P=MAN\subseteq G$ by putting

$$M:=Z_K(H),\quad A:=\exp(\mathbb{R}H)\quad\text{and}\quad N:=\exp(\mathfrak{n}),$$

where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the sum of eigenspaces of $\mathrm{ad}(H)$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to negative eigenvalues, namely -1 and possibly -2. Then $\mathfrak{n}$ identifies with $\mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{F}$ via

$$\mathbb{F}^n \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{F} \to \mathfrak{n}, \quad (X,Z) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} Z/2 & Z/2 & X \\ -Z/2 & -Z/2 & -X \\ X^* & X^* & \mathbf{0}_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

We embed subgroups G_1 of the form

$$SO_0(1,m+1), \qquad SU(1,m+1), \qquad Sp(1,m+1), \qquad Spin(8,1), \tag{5.1}$$

respectively, into G as blocks of size $(m+2)\times(m+2)$ in the upper left corner, i.e.

$$G_1 \hookrightarrow G, \quad g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & \mathbf{1}_{n-m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $P_1 := P \cap G_1$ is a parabolic subgroup for G_1 of the same type. Write $P_1 = M_1 A N_1$ then N_1 is an H-type subgroup of N and $N_1 A$ its harmonic extension. In the respective cases the subalgebras $\mathfrak{n}_1$ are of the form

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{R}^m & \text{for } G_1 = SO_0(1,m+1), \\ \mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{C} & \text{for } G_1 = SU(1,m+1), \\ \mathbb{H}^m \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H} & \text{for } G_1 = Sp(1,m+1), \\ \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{O} & \text{for } G_1 = Spin(8,1). \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.1. Strictly speaking the subalgebra $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{O} \subseteq \mathbb{O} \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{O} = \mathfrak{n}$ is not of H-type according to our definition since $\mathfrak{v}_1 = 0$ in this case and hence $[\mathfrak{v}_1, \mathfrak{v}_1] \neq \mathfrak{z}$. However, the case $\mathfrak{v}_1 = 0$ can with some notational modifications also treated by our methods. But the only possible bounded differential operator constructed in this way is the restriction operator. This corresponds to the branching law for complementary series of $F_{4(-20)}$ restricted to $Spin(8,1)$ which was already investigated by the third author, see [25, Theorem 4.4] for the corresponding statement. Therefore we will not treat the case $\mathfrak{v}_1 = 0$ in this paper and always assume that $[\mathfrak{v}_1, \mathfrak{v}_1] = \mathfrak{z}$.

5.2. **Spherical principal series representations of rank one groups.** Denote by $\overline{P} = MA\overline{N}$ the parabolic opposite to P. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}H$ of A is one-dimensional and $\mathrm{ad}(H)$ has eigenvalues $+1$ and possibly $+2$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$, the Lie algebra of $\overline{N}$. We identify $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^* \simeq \mathbb{C}$ via $\nu \mapsto \nu(H)$. For $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ let τ_ν be the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_{\overline{P}}^{G}(\mathbf{1} \otimes e^{\nu} \otimes \mathbf{1})$ of G, acting by left-translation on

$$I(\nu) = \{f \in C^\infty(G) : f(gme^{tH}\overline{n}) = e^{-\nu t} f(g)\, \forall\, g \in G, me^{tH}\overline{n} \in MA\overline{N}\}.$$

(Our representation τ_ν is $Ind_{MA\overline{N}}^{G}(e^{\nu-\rho})$ in the standard notation. However, our parameter ν has some advantage over $\nu - \rho$ when dealing with branching since G and G_1 have different ρ's: our parameter ν is "stable" under branching, see Theorem 5.2 below.) Restricting $f \in I(\nu)$ to N gives an NA-equivariant map to $C^\infty(N)$ endowed with the representation π_ν of NA, i.e.

$$(\tau_\nu(g)f)|_N = \pi_\nu(g)(f|_N) \qquad \forall\, f \in I(\nu), g \in NA.$$

The unitarizable representations for real ν are usually called complementary series and have first been found by Kostant [18]. Since the form (2.6) is also G-invariant we can

immediately read off from Theorem 2.2 that τ_ν is unitarizable for ν in the following interval:

$$\begin{aligned} &G = SO_0(1,n+1), && 0<\nu<n,\\ &G = SU(1,n+1), && 0<\nu<2n+2,\\ &G = Sp(1,n+1), && 2<\nu<4n+4,\\ &G = F_{4(-20)}, && 6<\nu<16. \end{aligned}$$

In this case the unitary representation X_ν of NA extends to an irreducible unitary representation of G.

Similarly we have smooth induced representations $(\tau_\nu^\flat, I^\flat(\nu))$ and complementary series representations $X_\nu^\flat$ of the subgroups $G_1 \subseteq G$ in (5.1).

5.3. **Invariant differential operators and discrete components.** Consider the restriction of the complementary series representations X_ν to the subgroup $G_1 \subseteq G$.

Theorem 5.2. *For $G = \mathrm{SO}_0(1,n+1)$, $SU(1,n+1)$ or $Sp(1,n+1)$ and $G_1 = SO_0(1,m+1)$, $SU(1,m+1)$ or $Sp(1,m+1)$, respectively, the irreducible unitary representation X_ν of G contains the irreducible unitary representation $X^\flat_{\nu+2k}$ of G_1 as a direct summand if $\nu>0$, $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ with $\rho - \frac{p}{2} - 1 < \nu < \rho$ and $\rho_1 - \frac{p_1}{2} - 1 < \nu + 2k < \rho_1$. The G_1-equivariant unitary embedding $X^\flat_{\nu+2k} \hookrightarrow X_\nu$ is realized by the adjoint of the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} : X_\nu \to X^\flat_{\nu+2k}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k}$ extends to a continuous linear operator $X_\nu \to X^\flat_{\nu+2k}$. It suffices to show that this operator is intertwining for the action of G_1. In fact, this implies that the adjoint $\mathcal{D}^*_{\nu,k} : X^\flat_{\nu+2k} \to X_\nu$ is intertwining, and since $X^\flat_{\nu+2k}$ is an irreducible unitary representation of of G_1 the operator $\mathcal{D}^*_{\nu,k}$ has to be a G_1-equivariant isometric embedding by Schur's Lemma.
To show that $\mathcal{D}_{\nu,k} : X_\nu \to X^\flat_{\nu+2k}$ is G_1-intertwining we use the identity (3.2). The Knapp–Stein intertwining operators Λ_ν and $\Lambda_{2\rho-\nu-2k}$ are intertwining for G and hence for G_1, so it remains to show that the restriction operator R and the multiplication operator M_k are G_1-intertwining. For this consider the two operators

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{R} : I(\beta) \to I^\flat(\beta), && \tilde{R}f(g_1) = f(g_1),\\ &\tilde{M}_k : I(\beta) \to I(\beta-2k), && \tilde{M}_k f(g) = q(g)^k f(g), \end{aligned}$$

where the function $q \in C^\infty(G)$ is given by

$$q(g) = \frac{1}{4}\left(|g_{m+3,1} + g_{m+3,2}|^2 + \cdots + |g_{n+2,1} + g_{n+2,2}|^2\right), \qquad g \in G.$$

It is immediate from the definition of $I(\beta)$ that $\tilde{R}$ is defined and G_1-intertwining, i.e.

$$\tilde{R} \circ \tau_\beta(g_1) = \tau^\flat_\beta(g_1) \circ \tilde{R} \qquad \forall\, g_1 \in G_1.$$

Further, a short calculation shows that the function q is left-invariant under G_1 and transforms under the right-action of $\overline{P}$ by $q(gme^{tH}\overline{n}) = e^{2t}q(g)$. Therefore the operator $\tilde{M}_k$ is also defined and G_1-intertwining, i.e.

$$\tilde{M}_k \circ \tau_\beta(g_1) = \tau_{\beta-2k}(g_1) \circ \tilde{M}_k \qquad \forall\, g_1 \in G_1.$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{R}$ and $\tilde{M}_k$ correspond to R and M_k in the sense that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{R}} & I^\flat(\beta) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\cdot)|_N} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\cdot)|_{N_1}} \\ C^\infty(N) & \xrightarrow{R} & C^\infty(N_1) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} I(\beta) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{M}_k} & I(\beta-2k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\cdot)|_N} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\cdot)|_N} \\ C^\infty(N) & \xrightarrow{M_k} & C^\infty(N). \end{array}$$

This implies that R and M_k are G_1-intertwining and the proof is complete. □

Appendix A. Jacobi polynomials

The classical Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)$ can be defined by (see [10, equation 10.8 (12)])

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) := \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{m=0}^{n}\binom{n+\alpha}{m}\binom{n+\beta}{n-m}(x-1)^{n-m}(x+1)^m.$$

They satisfy the following parity identity (see [10, equation 10.8 (13)]):

$$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(-z) = (-1)^n P_n^{(\beta,\alpha)}(z).$$

The following recurrence relation in n holds (see [10, equation 10.8 (11)]):

$$\begin{aligned}(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\left[(2n+\alpha+\beta)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)z+\alpha^2-\beta^2\right]P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)\\ =2(n+\alpha)(n+\beta)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)+2(n+1)(n+\alpha+\beta+1)(2n+\alpha+\beta)P_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z).\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

We further have the following functional relation (see [10, equation 10.8 (33)]):

$$\begin{aligned}(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)(1+z)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta+1)}(z)\\ =2(n+\beta+1)P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)+2(n+1)P_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z).\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Identities (A.1) and (A.2) together yield

$$\begin{aligned}2(n+\beta+1)\left[(2n+\alpha-\beta)+(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)z\right]P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)\\ =(n+\alpha)(2n+\alpha+\beta+2)(1+z)^2P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,\beta+2)}(z)+4(n+1)(\beta+1)P_{n+1}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(z).\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

We further remark that for $\alpha=-\frac{1}{2}$ or $\beta=-\frac{1}{2}$ the Jacobi polynomials degenerate to Gegenbauer polynomials (see [10, equation 10.9 (21)]):

$$C_{2n}^{\lambda}(z) = \frac{(\lambda)_n}{(\frac{1}{2})_n}P_n^{(\lambda-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}(2z^2-1) = (-1)^n\frac{(\lambda)_n}{(\frac{1}{2})_n}P_n^{(-\frac{1}{2},\lambda-\frac{1}{2})}(1-2z^2). \tag{A.4}$$

References

1. R. Beckmann, J.-L. Clerc *Singular invariant trilinear forms and covariant (bi-)differential operators under the conformal group*, J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 10, 4341-4376.
2. S. Ben Saïd, K. Koufany, G. Zhang, *Invariant trilinear forms on spherical principal series of real-rank one semisimple Lie groups*, Int. J. Math. **25** (2014), no. 3, DOI:10.1142/S0129167X14500177.
3. M. Cowling, *Unitary and uniformly bounded representations of some simple Lie groups*, Harmonic analysis and group representations, Liguori, Naples, 1982, pp. 49–128.
4. M. Cowling, A. H. Dooley, A. Korányi, F. Ricci *H-type groups and Iwasawa decompositions*, Adv. Math. **87** (1991), no. 1, 1–41.

5. M. Cowling, U. Haagerup, *Completely bounded multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a simple Lie group of real rank one*, Invent. Math. **96** (1989), no. 3, 507–549.
6. M. Cowling, A. Korányi, *Harmonic analysis on Heisenberg type groups from a geometric viewpoint*, Lie group representations, III (College Park, Md., 1982/1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1077, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 60–100.
7. E. Damek, F. Ricci, *A class of nonsymmetric harmonic Riemannian spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **27** (1992), no. 1, 139–142.
8. A. H. Dooley, G. Zhang, *Spherical functions on harmonic extensions of H-type groups*, J. Geom. Anal. **9** (1999), no. 2, 247–255.
9. M. Duflo, J. A. Vargas, *Branching laws for square integrable representations*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **86** (2010), no. 3, 49–54.
10. A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions. Vols. I, II*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1953.
11. B. Gross, N. Wallach, *Restriction of small discrete series representations to symmetric subgroups*, The mathematical legacy of Harish-Chandra (Baltimore, MD, 1998), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 68, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 255–272.
12. A. Juhl, *Families of conformally covariant differential operators, Q-curvature and holography*, Progr. Math., vol. 275, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
13. T. Kobayashi, *Theory of discretely decomposable restrictions of unitary representations of semisimple Lie groups and some applications*, Sugaku Expositions **18** (2005), no. 1, 1–37.
14. T. Kobayashi, *Multiplicity-free theorems of the restrictions of unitary highest weight modules with respect to reductive symmetric pairs*, Representation theory and automorphic forms, Progr. Math., vol. 255, Birkhäuser Boston, MA, 2008, pp. 45–109.
15. T. Kobayashi, B. Ørsted, P. Somberg, V. Soucek, *Branching laws for Verma modules and applications in parabolic geometry. I*, preprint, available at arXiv:1305.6040.
16. T. Kobayashi, M. Pevzner, *Rankin–Cohen Operators for Symmetric Pairs*, preprint, available at arXiv:1301.2111.
17. T. Kobayashi, B. Speh, *Symmetry breaking for representations of rank one orthogonal groups*, preprint, available at arXiv:1310.3213.
18. B. Kostant, *On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 627–642.
19. J. Möllers, B. Ørsted, Y. Oshima, *Knapp–Stein type intertwining operators for symmetric pairs*, preprint, available at arXiv:1309.3904.
20. J. Möllers, Y. Oshima, *Restriction of complementary series representations of $O(1, N)$ to symmetric subgroups*, preprint, available at arXiv:1209.2312.
21. B. Ørsted, J. A. Vargas, *Restriction of square integrable representations: discrete spectrum*, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), no. 3, 609–633.
22. B. Speh, T. N. Venkataramana, *Discrete components of some complementary series*, Forum Math. **23** (2011), no. 6, 1159–1187.
23. B. Speh, G. Zhang, *Restriction to symmetric subgroups of unitary representations of rank one semisimple Lie groups*, preprint, available at arXiv:1304.2868.
24. G. Zhang, *Branching coefficients of holomorphic representations and Segal-Bargmann transform*, J. Funct. Anal. **23** (2002), no. 2, 306–349.
25. G. Zhang, *Discrete components in restriction of unitary representations of rank one semisimple Lie groups*, (2011), preprint, available at arXiv:1111.6406.
26. G. Zhang, *Tensor products of complementary series of rank one Lie groups*, (2014), preprint, available at arXiv:1402.2950.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, NY MUNKEGADE 118, DK-8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK
E-mail address: *moellers@imf.au.dk*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, NY MUNKEGADE 118, DK-8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK
E-mail address: *orsted@imf.au.dk*

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, GÖTEBORG UNIVERSITY, SE-412 96 GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN
E-mail address: *genkai@chalmers.se*